



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,850		07/26/2001	Mamoru Higashimura	33828	7188	
116	7590	11/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	E & GOR	DON LLP	FLETCHER	FLETCHER, JAMES A		
SUITE 1		IRCEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVE	LAND, OF	I 44114-3108	2616			
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/915,850	HIGASHIMURA ET A	HIGASHIMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
James A. Fletcher	2616			

	James A. Fletcher	2616						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply money g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	acausa -					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or	nor rolling to	acomy or ompmying						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
, .								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In re page 2, Applicant's Representative states: "Navco does not teach creating any signal comprised of a series of frames as recited in the claims."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Navco clearly and distinctly discloses the creation of a signal output of the frame switcher for recording, as well as for viewing on the monitor, as shown in the 1700 System Controller Operating Instructions, Pages 6 and 8.

Further in re page 2, Applicant's Representative states: "There are no frames that are a part of a multiplexed signal that are skipped, recited in the claims."

Again, the Examiner Respectfully disagrees. Navco clearly and distinctly discloses the display of only frames from a specified camera in a multiplexed signal as shown in the SRT Chapter, Page 1, thereby skipping all frames from non-selected cameras in the multiplexed signal. In re page 3, Applicant's Representative states: "the Examiner has not provided the proper motivation for modifying the reference." The Examiner is unsure of which modification the Applicant's Representative is referring to, or what action is expected of the Examiner. While several cases of official notice exist in the rejection, these are based on common sense and widely understood principles of mathematics.

The Examiner also notes that the "antecedent basis" 112 rejection of claim 9 has not been addressed by the Applicant'.

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 262-76.46